

MIDS Credits: Dry Swales

MIDS Work Group Meeting June 15, 2012

Outline



- Review:
 - Modeling Process
 - Calculator
 - Draft Drawing
- Next Steps

Modeling Process to Quantify Volume Reduction

- Break swales into components
 - side slope
 - main channel
 - bioretention base
 - check dams
 - underdrain
- Make each component additive for volume reductions



Modeling Process Side Slopes of Grass Channel

- Use P8
- Run 58 years of Twin Cities precipitation and 1.1 inch event storm
- Modeled as a very wide grass swale
- Parameters (384 model runs)
 - Slope (Side Slope): 3H:1V, 4H:1V, 5H:1V
 - Flow path length: 10, 20, 30, 50 ft
 - Infiltration rate: 0.2, 0.6, 1.0, 1.6 in/hr
 - Impervious area/side slope area: 1, 3, 7
 - Manning's n: 0.25 (short grass), 0.35 (high grass)



Modeling Process Main Channel of Grass Channel

- Use P8
- Run 58 years of Twin Cities precipitation and 1.1 inch event storm
- Parameters (total of 432 model runs)
 - Channel slope: 1%, 2%, 3%, 4%
 - Infiltration rate: 0.2, 0.6, 1.0, 1.6 in/hr
 - Impervious Area/Channel Area: 5, 20, 40
 - Manning's n: 0.25 (short grass),
 0.35 (high grass)
 - Bottom Width: 4, 8 ft
 - Channel Length: 150, 300, 700 ft



Modeling Process Procedure

- Run model simulations
- Develop relationship between volume reduction and design parameters using multivariate regression analysis
- Use relationship to calculate volume reduction percentage in calculator
- Combine runoff reductions from side slope and main channel

Modeling Process Results Side Slope Annual Volume Reduction by Parameter





Calculator Overview

1	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	
1								
2				Paramete	ers to be e	entered		
3				Paramete	ers calcul	ated		
4								
5		Total Volume Reduction						
6		Total Annual Volume Reduction	74%					
		Total Event Volume Reduction	4.474					
7		(1.1 inch 15 minute duration storm)	14%					
8								
9		Impervious Area	1	acres			,	
10		Annual	31	inches			1	
11		Event	1.1	inches				
12								
13		Side Slope Parameters					·	
14		Slope (%)	33		1	A	•	
15		Infiltration Rate (in/hr)	0.8		Impervi	Side Slope	Channel	
16		Manning's n	0.35		Area		length	
17		Flow Path (ft)	10			Side Slope	icing.	
18		Side Slope Length(ft)	1320			Length		
22		Annual Volume Reduction	5%			Flow Path		
23		Event Volume Reduction	3%					
24						E State Stat		
25		Main Channel Base Parameters						
26		Channel Length (ft)	1320					
27		Channel Width (ft)	5			↓		
28		Slope (%)	1			$\leftarrow \rightarrow$		
29		Infiltration Rate (in/hr)	0.8			Channel		
30		Manning's n	0.35			Width		
34		Annual Volume Reduction	72%					
35		Event Volume Reduction	11%					
36								
37								
H I	Calculator Side Slope Models Base Channel Models 2							

Use <u>Bioretention</u> Performance Curves to Quantify Compliance with 1.1-inch Rule





These values are within the range reported in literature (0-98% reduction) but are different than LRRB values.

Volume Reduction Summary



Some Differences Between LRRB Method and MIDS Method



	U of MN/LRRB	Barr/MIDS	
Infiltration Modeling Process	Green Ampt method	Constant infiltration rate	
Infiltration Rate	Initially faster infiltration rate (some cells in grid >39 in/hr) and mean final rates of 1.3-0.4 in/hr, depending on measured rate at cells	Rates in MN Stormwater Manual: 1.63 - <0.2 in/hr, depending on soil	
Precipitation	Various rainfall intensities, including a 1-inch 24-hour event	58 continuous years of real storms at 1-hour time increments	
	Unclear if intense events were analyzed	1.1 inches in 15 minutes (~10 year event) and 1.1 inches in 30 minutes (~2 year event)	
Real Life Volume Reduction Monitoring	None	None	



Drawing

Go to Table of Contents



only seed it same seed as final

thet a d pier pien was supervision of assional

direct direct

1100

by certify or under a duly Uc

by me

lote

- 11. STABILIZE THE SITE BY IMPLEMENTING THE NATIVE SEEDING AND PLANTING PORTION OF
- MODOT GENERAL CONDITIONS 2573) PRIOR TO THE START OF ANY CONSTRUCTION OPERATION THAT MAY CAUSE ANY SEDIMENTATION OR SILTATION AT THE SITE
- SEWER AND SEDIMENT LOADS TO DOWNSTREAM STORM WATER FACILITIES OF
- GRADING OF THE SWALE SHALL BE ACCOMPLISHED USING LOW-IMPACT EARTH-MOVING EQUIPMENT TO PREVENT COMPACTION OF THE UNDERLYING SOILS, SMALL TRACKED DOZERS AND BOBCATS WITH RUNNER TRACKS ARE RECOMMENDED.
- 6. GRADE TO THE DEPTH (ELEVATION) SPECIFIED IN THE CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS
- IN THE EVENT THAT SEDIJIENT IS INTRODUCED INTO THE BMP DURING OR IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING EXCAVATION, THIS MATERIAL WILL NEED TO BE REMOVED FROM THE SWALE PRIOR TO INITIATING THE NEXT STEP IN THE CONSTRUCTION PROCESS. THIS IS ESPECIALLY IMPORTANT IF THE SWALE HAS BEEN DESIGNED TO INFILTRATE STORM ESPECIAL TIMPORTANT IF THE SWALE PAS BEEN DESIGNED TO INTRUTINE O WATER: SEDIMENT THAT HAS BEEN WASHED INTO THE SWALE DURING THE EXCAVATION PROCESS CAN SEAL THE PERMEABLE MATERIAL SIGNIFICANTLY
- MATERIAL EXCAVATED FROM THE SWALE(S) SHALL BE DISPOSED OF ON-SITE AT
- NON-STANDARD COMPONENT: CLEAN, WASHED 1.5 TO 3.5-INCH GRAVEL SHALL BE PLACED IN THE BOTTOM OF THE SWALE TO THE DEPTH SPECIFIED IN THE CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS. GRAVEL SHOULD BE PLACED IN LFTS AND LIGHTLY

IC. NON-STANDARD COMPONENT: THE PERFORATED FIFE UNDERDRAIN) SHALL BE LAD DIRECTLY ON THE GRAVEL BED, GRADE DUD LICINENT SHALL NOT VARY FROM THE PRESCREED GRADE BY MORE THAT GOATER'S GUIDAL AND ANY ANY ONLY. THE JOINTS BETWEEN SECTIONS OF PPE SHALL BE CONNECTED IN FASHION ACCEPTABLE TO ENVINEEN. ONCE THE PPE IS IN FLAGE, IT SHALL BE COVERED INCENTERLY WITH ENVINEEN. ONCE THE PPE IS IN FLAGE, IT SHALL BE COVERED INCENTERLY WITH GRANULAR MATERIAL AS SPECIFICIAL IT STALE OF OUTFORD DOCUMENTS. THE GRANULAR MATERIAL SHALL BE OF UNIFORM DEPTH ON BOTH SIDES OF THE PIPE. SPECIAL INLETS AND SPECIAL DEVICES AT THE OUTLET END OF THE PIPE SHALL BE

- 12. PORTIONS OF SWALE TO BE PLANTED SHALL RECEIVE 3" OF WOODCHIP MULCH (MINDOT
- PORTIONS OF SWALE TO BE SEEDED SHALL BE MULCHED WITH CLEAN GRAIN STRAW (MDDDT TYPE 3) AT A RATE OF 2 TONS PER ACRE.

14. SEEDING AND INSTALLATION OF EROSION CONTROL BLANKET SHALL BE COMPLETED



Sheets

WASH

away

2005 MINNESOTA STORMWATER MANUAL









- Wait until LRRB study results out this fall
 Will include real world monitoring site in Madison, WI
- U of MN will compare and contrast modeling results
- Complete suggested cross section detail by June 30
- Use preliminary, potentially conservative MIDS values for now, update with LRRB results by March 2013 for calculator update by end of summer 2013





